

## OpenBudgets.eu: Fighting Corruption with Fiscal Transparency

Project Number: 645833

Start Date of Project: 01.05.2015

Duration: 30 months

## Deliverable 6.1

## **MEP** Questionnaire

Dissemination Level	Public
Due Date of Deliverable	Month 6, 01.11.2015
Actual Submission Date	06.11.2015
Work Package	WP 6, Test Beds and Evaluation - Transparency
Task	Т 6.1
Туре	Report
Approval Status	Final
Version	1.0
Number of Pages	8
Filename	D6.1 MEP Questionnaire

**Abstract:** Deliverable 6.1 of Work Package 6 is a short questionnaire, which will be circulated to the Members of the European Parliament's Budget and Budgetary Control committees. The aim of this questionnaire is to assess the data and data analysis need of MEPs.

The information in this document reflects only the author's views and the European Community is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein. The information in this document is provided "as is" without guarantee or warranty of any kind, express or implied, including but not limited to the fitness of the information for a particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at his/ her sole risk and liability.





### History

Version	Date	Reason	Revised by
0.1	06.10.2015	Incorporated feedback from European Parliament meetings	Nick Aiossa
0.2	19.10.2015	Incorporated feedback from European Parliament meetings	Nick Aiossa
1.0	30.10.2015	External Review by project partner	Anna Alberts

### Author List

Organisation	Name	Contact Information
Transparency International EU Office	Nick Aiossa	naiossa@transparency.org

# **Executive Summary**

Deliverable 6.1 of Work Package 6 is a short questionnaire, which will be circulated to the Members of the European Parliament's Committee on Budgets (BUDG) and Budgetary Control Committee (CONT). The aim of this questionnaire is to assess the data and data analysis needs of these Members of the European Parliament (MEPs). The responses from MEPs will then be considered and incorporated into the design and development of the OpenBudgets.eu platform. These responses will also play a role in the drafting of Deliverable 6.2, the needs analysis report, which will look at analysing whether the data structures, tools and architecture foreseen or implemented in Openbudgets.eu correspond with the needs of MEPs in the CONT and BUDG committees.



## Abbreviations and Acronyms

CONT	European Parliament Budgetary Control Committee
BUDG	European Parliament Committee on Budgets
MEP	Member of the European Parliament



# Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	.5
2	QUESTIONNAIRE	.6
3	CONCLUSION	.8

# 1 Introduction

Initial advocacy and outreach activities served as a basis for gathering relevant and substantive material that policymakers' could find important and that could be incorporated in the questionnaire. A series of meetings were held with relevant European Parliamentary stakeholders, which included BUDG and CONT political group policy advisors, MEP assistants, and committee secretariat and policy department D administrators. The aim of the meetings were to help build political support for the project but also open budget data generally. The meetings comprised of three main components: first, to introduce the OpenBudgets.eu project; second, to discuss open budgets and open data generally; and third, to solicit possible data and data analysis needs of the MEPs in both BUDG and CONT committees. The initial comments from these meetings fed into the drafting process and both the questionnaire's content and format reflect this.

The questionnaire's policy-related content highlights the relevant focus areas of policy-makers' work with budget allocation and expenditure data. The level of detail needed in budget and expenditure analysis and the thematic focuses varied in stakeholder feedback. This is not entirely unexpected given the two related but distinct mandates of the BUDG and CONT committees. Thus, the questions aim to incorporate the various areas mentioned with the goal to more accurately gauge MEPs' opinion on these matters. Doubts were also expressed that MEPs, given their respective workloads and the number of surveys they receive, would be able to devote time to completing a survey or questionnaire. Therefore, the format is also designed to allow busy policymakers the ability to quickly and simply complete this questionnaire without any undue administrative burden.

# 2 Questionnaire

## **OpenBudgets.eu MEP Questionnaire**

#### **Background**

The OpenBudgets.eu project aims to provide a framework containing the relevant tools required for supporting financial transparency, thus enhancing accountability within public sectors, and as a result preventing corruption. In order for OpenBudgets.eu to provide a framework which is user friendly, feasible, and relevant to policy-makers' work we would like to assess the needs and opinions of the key MEPs working in the Budgets and Budgetary Control Committees.

In this questionnaire you are asked which budget and expenditure data is important to your work as a MEP and how you would like it presented, in order for your feedback to be incorporated into the design of the online OpenBudgets.eu platform.

#### **Questionnaire**

This questionnaire consists of 12 questions and takes five minutes to complete.

- 1. Which committee(s) are you a member/substitute of:
  - i. Committee on Budgets \_\_\_\_\_
  - ii. Budgetary Control Committee\_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Would you find it useful for budget and expenditure figures to be analysed on the online platform?
  - i. Yes
  - ii. No
- 3. Which of the following levels of detail in **expenditure** data are important for your work:
  - i. Fund \_\_\_\_\_
  - ii. Operational programme \_\_\_\_\_
  - iii. Major projects \_\_\_\_\_
  - iv. Individual projects \_\_\_\_\_
  - v. Beneficiary information \_\_\_\_\_
  - vi. Other \_



- 4. Which of the following levels of detail in **budget** data are important for your work:
  - i. Fund \_\_\_\_\_
  - ii. Programme \_\_\_\_\_
  - iii. Budget Heading \_\_\_\_\_
  - iv. Budget line \_\_\_\_\_
  - v. Itemised items within budget lines \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. How important is budget and expenditure data in the following **headings**, with 0 being lowest and 5 being highest:
  - i. Smart and inclusive growth \_\_\_\_\_
  - ii. Sustainable Growth: natural resources \_\_\_\_\_
  - iii. Security and citizenship \_\_\_\_\_
  - iv. Global Europe \_\_\_\_\_
  - v. Administration \_\_\_\_\_
  - vi. Other special Instruments \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. How important is budget and expenditure data in the following **funds**, with 0 being lowest and 5 being highest:
  - i. Structural and Cohesion\_\_\_\_\_
  - ii. Horizon 2020 \_\_\_\_\_
  - iii. Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) \_\_\_\_\_
  - iv. Competitiveness of Enterprises and SMEs (COSME) \_\_\_\_\_
  - v. LIFE+ \_\_\_\_\_
  - vi. European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) \_\_\_\_\_
  - vii. External Action Instruments \_\_\_\_\_
- 7. Which **indicators** do you find most important in your work:
  - i. Funding period thematic objectives
  - ii. Investment priorities for these thematic objectives
  - iii. Error rates
  - iv. Performance rates
  - v. Absorption rates
  - vi. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- 8. What **sources** would be useful in order to analyse and process the raw budget and expenditure data:



- i. Commission budget implementation summaries \_\_\_\_\_
- ii. Commission annual activity reports \_\_\_\_\_
- iii. Member State national audit reports \_\_\_\_\_
- iv. Court of Auditors' reports \_\_\_\_\_
- v. Member State annual shared management summaries \_\_\_\_\_
- vi. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- 9. In addition to budget data would you like to have the legislative budget process outlined on the platform?
  - i. Yes
  - ii. No

10. How would you like the data presented on the platform:

- i. Geographically \_\_\_\_\_
- ii. By Fund \_\_\_\_\_
- iii. By Sector \_\_\_\_\_
- iv. Through Timelines \_\_\_\_\_
- v. Other \_\_\_\_\_

11. Which **comparable timelines** would be most useful to you:

- i. Multiannual Financial Framework periods \_\_\_\_\_
- ii. Annual budget periods \_\_\_\_\_
- iii. Funding period \_\_\_\_\_
- iv. Programme life \_\_\_\_\_
- v. Project \_\_\_\_\_
- vi. Other \_\_\_\_\_

12. Additional Comments: \_\_\_\_\_\_

## 3 Conclusion

This questionnaire will be sent electronically to all Members in BUDG and CONT committees. Corresponding outreach and advocacy, including bi-lateral meetings with key MEPs, towards the relevant European Parliament stakeholders will continue in parallel. These activities will serve to highlight the questionnaire and its purpose in order to bolster response rates.